

## State of New Jersey

STANLEY G. BELFORD

CMEN V. MENNY, TIT

DAVID LINEIT COMMISSIONER S. RILLIOTT WAYD

COMPANIES.

ELECTION LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMISSION

NATIONAL STATE BANK BLDG., SUITE 1215 28 W. STATE STREET, CN-165 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0165 (809) 292-6700 PREDETICK M. HERTHAMN, PH.D. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JEFFREY M. BRINDLE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

GREGORY E. NAGY LEGAL DIRECTOR

EDWARD J. FARRELL COUMSEL

September 27, 1989

Peter Verniero, Esq., Executive Director New Jersey Republican State Committee 310 West State Street Trenton, NJ 08618

Advisory Opinion No. 21-1989

Dear Mr. Verniero:

The Commission at its public meeting of September 19, 1989 considered your request for an advisory opinion as contained in your letter of September 18, 1989, and has directed me to issue this response. You have asked on behalf of the New Jersey Republican State Committee whether a script that will be used for electronic media advertising prior to the 1989 general alection would result in any allocation of the axpense of those advertisements towards the expenditure limit of the gubernatorial candidate of the Republican Party; see N.J.S.A. 19:44A-7, as amended by P.L. 1989, c.4, section 2.

You have submitted with your inquiry a single page acript entitled: "TV Advertisement Script, No. 1," which snript is hereby incorporated by reference in this advisory opinion.

In regard to the substance of the scripts you have submitted, the Commission notes that in Advisory Opinion 33-1981, Question 2, the Commission was asked to consider whether institutional advertising by a State political party committee would result in an allocation to a gubernatorial cendidate. The Commission concluded that the issue turned on whether or not expenditures for such advertising were made \*. . . on behalf of a gubernatorial candidate. \* Specifically, the Commission wrote:

"Such an expenditura would be made, at least in part, on behalf of the gubernatorial candidate, and thereafter allocable to some extent to the gubernatorial candidate, if (1) either of the gubernatorial candidates is named or visually depicted or raferred to; or (2) the office of Govarnor is named or referred to; or (3) the incumbent governor is named or visually depicted or referred to; or (4) the identity of the candidate, the opponent, or the incumbent governor is apparent by unambiguous reference."

Advisory Opinion No. 33-1981, p.2.

Peter Verniero, Esq. September 27, 1989 Page 2

The above quoted criteria were also relied upon recanrly in Advisory Opinion 19-1989, and resulted in a determination that expendituras by the New Jersey State Democratic Committee/Campaign '89, Inc. related to a television advertising campaign would not result in an allocation to the 1989 Democratic gubernatorial candidata.

Applying the above critaria to the acript you have submitted, the Commission concludes that the text of the acript in Itself would not result in any allocation to the 1989 Republican gubernatorial candidate. Nothing in the text names or refere to a gubernatorial candidate. Further, the Commission is satisfied that nothing in the actipt refers to the incumbent Governor, and believes the language in the text is substantially similar to the language considered in Advisory Opinions 38-1981, 39-1981 and 19-1989. Therefore, the Commission concludes that the cost of the contemplated advertising constitutes political party building expenditures not allocable to a guhernatorial candidata.

The Commission wishes to note that you have not submitted the video portion of the proposed advartising for the Commission's consideration, and therefore nothing contained herein should be construed se a decarmination whathar the accompanying videos give rise to a possible allocation to a gubernatorial candidata. This advisory opinion is limited to the submitted taxt only.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Vary truly yours,

ELECTION LAW ENFORGEMENT COMMISSION

BY: Stegan Negro

GEN/cn