0-43-77

FRANK P. REICHE

SIDNEY GOLDMANN VICE CHAIRMAN

JOSEPHINE S. MARGETTS

ARCHIBALD S. ALEXANDER

STATE OF NEW JERSEY ELECTION LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMISSION NATIONAL STATE BANK BLDG. **SUITE 1114** TRENTON, N. J. 08605

(609) 292-8700

LEWIS B. THURSTON, III

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

EDWARD J. FARRELL COUNSEL

RECEIVED

October 31, 1977

NOV 4 1977

ELECTION LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMISSION

Robert S. Raymar, Esq. Messrs. Hellring, Lindeman & Siegal 1180 Raymond Boulevard Newark, New Jersey 07102

> The New Jersey Campaign Contributions and Expenditures Reporting Act, Chapter 83, Laws of 1973 as Amended and Supplemented ("the Act") Your Letter Dated September 28, 1977 Opinion #(0-43-77)

Dear Mr. Raymar:

Your letter dated September 28, 1977 to the New Jersey Election Law Enforcement Commission ("the Commission"), including a request for an advisory opinion relating to the allocation of "street money", has been forwarded to me for reply.

You have suggested that since Byrne for Governor has not solicited and has actively discouraged expenditures of election day "street money" on its behalf (based on your determination that expenditures allocable to the gubernatorial candidate could be more profitably directed to other uses), election day expenditures made by county and municipal committees should not be allocable to Byrne For Governor. However, election day expenditures made by a county committee, such as payments to workers involved in get-out-the-vote drives or as challengers or poll watchers, can not be regarded as "wholly apart" from that party's gubernatorial election effort, as suggested in your letter. Such expenditures necessarily confer some benefit on the candidates of the party, and it is not possible to carve out one candidate on the party's ballot as receiving no benefit from these activities.

A case may be imagined of a hostile county chairman making unauthorized expenditures in order to sabotage a gubernatorial candidate's funding strategy as suggested in your letter, and such a case may well call for different treatment. No such facts appear in your letter, however, and in the absence of clear evidence the Commission cannot assume that the county and the municipal committees will act in a manner hostile to the interests of the gubernatorial candidate of the party.

As you are aware, Regulation 19:25-12.1 addresses the question of reporting of payments to workers involved in election day efforts, including "street money", such payment must be properly allocated among the candidates for whose benefit they are made or incurred. The Commission is of the opinion that such expenditures, including "street money", must be reasonably allocated to the gubernatorial candidates as well as to other candidates, to the extent they are benefited by the expenditures.

Yours very truly,

Edward G. Farrell

Legal Counsel

EJF:jj