0-29-76

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

ELECTION LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMISSION

NATIONAL STATE BANK BLDG.
SUITE 1114

TRENTON, N. J. 08605

(609) 292 8700

LEWIS B. THURSTON, III

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

EDWARD J. FARRELL

September 20, 1976

Mr. Nathan McClure 86 Knollwood Drive New Providence, New Jersey 07974

Re: The New Jersey Campaign Contributions and Expenditures Reporting Act, Chapter 83, Laws of 1973 as Amended and Supplemented ("the Act") Your Letter Dated July 31, 1976 Opinion No. 0-29-76

Dear Mr. McClure:

FRANK P. REICHE

CHAIRMAN

SIDNEY GOLDMANN

JOSEPHINE S. MARGETTS
ARCHIBALD S. ALEXANDER

VICE CHAIRMAN

Your letter dated July 31, 1976, to the New Jersey Election Law Enforcement Commission ("the Commission"), including a request for advisory opinion, has been forwarded to me for reply.

There is no requirement that the affidavit (sworn state-ment) be filed as of each of the three filing dates for pre-election and post-election reports. The sworn statement must be filed only once, twenty-five days before the election.

In the event that the Commission wishes to obtain confirmation or other information from the depository bank, the Commission will contact the depository bank directly and the candidate would not have any obligation with respect to filing by a depository. Where a candidate receives a contribution (from a borough political committee or any other person) in excess of \$100.00, that fact should be reported to the Commission by letter or on form C-l as an amendment to the sworn statement A-l.

Assuming that the candidate does not expend more than \$1,000 and that any contribution in excess of \$100.00 has been properly reported, there is no final report or other additional report required of the candidate.

As a general rule, if persons who are partners make contributions, each from his own personal funds, those contributions will not be aggregated for purposes of determining whether the contribution must be reported as being in excess

of \$100.00; if, however, persons who are partners make a contribution from partnership funds, such a contribution would be regarded as a single contribution and, in the event that it exceeded \$100.00, the name, address and amount of contribution would be required to be reported.

Based upon the facts set forth in your letter, including the fact that some members of the partnership may make contribution to competing candidates, it would appear that the probable source of the contribution is the individual funds of each of the partners. In that circumstance, the fact that they are partners would not result in a combination of the amounts of the contribution for purposes of determining whether the total amount of the contribution of all such partners exceed \$100.00 and therefore subject to the reporting requirements of the Act.

Yours very truly,

Edward J. Farrell Legal Counsel

EJF: ja